1	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\mathcal{M}$
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10/804,923	MARCANGELO, ST	EVEN 1
Notice of	Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
1		Lisa M. Saldano	3673	l
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.				
1. This communication is responsive to the application filed on 3/19/2004.				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/	are <u>23</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 3/19/2004 are accepted by the Examiner.				
4.				
3. Information Disclosure S Paper No./Mail Date Jul	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☒ Examiner's Amenda 8. ☒ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☒ Other Restriction Re	(PTO-413), re nent/Comment ent of Reasons for Allo	,

Application/Control Number: 10/804,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method of manufacturing a cover for a bedding product

and a method of manufacturing a bedding foundation, classified in class 112,

subclass 475.08.

II. Claim 23, drawn to a bedding foundation, classified in class 5, subclass 400.

III. Claims 24-29, drawn to a sewing machine, classified in class 112, subclass 139.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

product made can be made in a different process whereby the components are joined by means of

an adhesive instead of by sewing steps.

3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of the

sewing machine can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

4. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product made can be made by another and materially different apparatus whereby the apparatus contains means to join the product's components by means of an adhesive instead of by sewing steps.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Dwight Poffenberger on 12/2/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claim23. Claims 1-22 and 24-29 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

7. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/804,923

Art Unit: 3673

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Page 4

Dwight Poffenberger on 12/3/2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the TITLE

Deleted the current title of "Method of manufacturing cover for a bedding product and

resultin product" and inserted new title -- Bedding Product--.

In the CLAIMS

Cancelled claims 1-22.

Cancelled claims 24-29.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claim 23,

the closest prior art of record, Briggs (4,598,622) discloses a combustion inhibiting construction

of a welt cord. Briggs illustrates a cushion 1 with a welt 5 containing a welt cord 10 (see column

2 and Figures 1-4). Figure 4 illustrates the welt 5 spaced inwardly from an upper perimeter of the

foundation (see Fig.3). However, Briggs fails to illustrate, disclose or provide motivation for

providing a spring assembly with a cover whereby a welt cord is secured to the cover, the welt

cord being spaced inwardly from an upper perimeter of the foundation and extending upwardly

from the cover. Briggs further fails to disclose non-skid decking integrally formed in the cover inside the welt cord in combination with the rest of the limitations claimed by the applicant of the present invention in claim 23.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Saldano whose telephone number is 703-605-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**